

-----Original Message-----

From: George Stern [mailto:mail@simonwolff.org.uk]

Sent: 30 May 2005 09:39

To: grahamgroom@personaassociates.co.uk

Subject: Thames Gateway Bridge

from Nina ~Tuckman...

Dear Mr. Groom,

These are the points which I propose to deal with at the Inquiry:

..Regeneration

One of - if not the main - excuses for building this Bridge is to regenerate the area (it used of course to be that Tower Bridge was falling down).

It is of course obvious to all people of common sense that building motorways through areas does not regenerate and this is supported by many studies, some of which I have asked you to provide. The most important must be the government-accepted SACTRA report of 1994. Plus of course evidence that cities without motorways are generally more prosperous than those with.

I propose to discuss Bioregional's plans for proper regeneration and display their literature, posters, etc.

..Oxleas Wood

When we were fighting the Archway motorway, no plans were made for what would happen to the tripled traffic coming from the Archway motorway. "Oh, it will disperse"...Of course, it wouldn't - it was a knife pointed at Islington and Hackney and it would have resulted in a massive destruction of the oldest parts of London. The same is happening here. No satisfactory answer has been given as to where the traffic from the TGB will go.

Of course, it will go through Oxleas Wood and Woodlands Farm via Plumstead. It would be irresponsible of any traffic engineer, faced with the amount of traffic induced, to do otherwise.

I intend to discuss increased traffic brought by the Bridge.

This is a huge subject of course, but it is very important.

economics of the Bridge

I need to gather this information but fully intend to discuss this.

.....health

A few simple figures on the effect of increased pollution on health.

Yours sincerely, Nina Tuckman

FW Thames Gateway Bridge Nina Tuckman.txt

Nina Tuckman, Trust ee, Simon wolff Charit able Foundation.